# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (Aviso a Acusado)		FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)	
LUBOMYR PRYTULAK; and DOES to 100, inclusive.			
. ,			
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (A Ud. le está demandando)			
GARY KURTZ			
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons is served on you to file a typewritten response at this court.  A letter or phone call will not protect you; your typewritten response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case.	Después de que le entreguen esta citación judicial usted tiene un piazo de 30 DIAS CALENDARIOS para presentar una respuesta escrita a máquina en esta corte.  Una carta o una liamada telefónica no le ofrecerá protección; su respuesta escrita a máquina tiene que cumplir con las formalidades legales apropiadas si usted quiere que la corte escuche su caso.		
If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case, and your wages, money and property may be taken without further warning from the court.	Si usted no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso, y le pueden quitar su salario, su dinero y otras cosasde su propiedad sin aviso adicional por parte de la corte.		
There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney referral service or a legal aid office (listed in the phone book).	llamar a un abogado a bogado, puede llam	os legales. Puede que usted quiera inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un ar a un servicio de referencia de ina de ayuda legal (vea el directorio	
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección	de la corte es)	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso)	
L.A.S.C Central		BC292852	
111 N. Hill Street			
Los Angeles, CA 90012			
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorned in the control of	del demandante, o del dema KURTZ, APLC	andante que no tiene abogado, es) (818)884-8400	
DATE: MAR 2 6 2003 JOHN A. CLARKE	THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	C.L. Coleman Deputy	
NOTICE TO THE PERSON S	unach - Sufama- and		
(SEAL) 1. as an individual defe			
3 C 1413 4 %	under the fictitious name of (	'specify):	
3. on behalf of (specify)	);		
CCP 416.20 CCP 416.40 other:	0 (corporation) 0 (defunct corporation) 0 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (individual)	
4. by personal delivery	on (date):		

Form Adopted by Rule 982 Judicial Council of California 982(a)(9) [Rev. January 1, 1984] (See reverse for Proof of Service)
SUMMONS

WEST GROUP Official Publisher

982.2(b)(1) ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): FOR COURT USE ONLY Gary Kurtz, Esq. SBN 128295 ORIGINAL FILE LAW OFFICE OF GARY KURTZ, APLC 20335 Ventura Blvd., Suite 200 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 MAR 2 6 2003 TELEPHONE NO.: 818-884-8400 FAX NO.: 818-884-8404 ATTORNEY FOR (Nema): LOS ANGELES INSERT NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT, AND BRANCH COURT, IF ANY: SUPERIOR COURT L.A.S.C. - Central CASE NAME: GARY KURTZ vs. LUBOMYR PRYTULAK **Complex Case Designation** CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ASSIGNED JEGS 292852 Counter Joinder Unlimited Limited Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1811) Please complete all five (5) items below. 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: **Auto Tort** Other employment (15) Writ of mandate (02) Auto (22) Contract Other judicial review (39) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Breach of contract/warranty (06) Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 1800-1812) Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Asbestos (04) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Product liability (24) Insurance coverage (18) Construction defect (10) Medical malpractice (45) Other contract (37) Claims involving mass tort (40) Other PI/PD/WD (23) Real Property Securities litigation (28) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Eminent domain/Inverse Toxic tort/Environmental (30) condemnation (14) Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case Civil rights (e.g., discrimination, Wrongful eviction (33) types (41) false arrest) (08) Other real property (e.g., quiet **Enforcement of Judgment** title) (26) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Enforcement of judgment (e.g., sister state, Fraud (16) **Unlawful Detainer** foreign, out-of-county abstracts) (20) Intellectual property (19) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Commercial (31) Professional negligence (e.g., legal Residential (32) RICO (27) malpractice) (25) Drugs (38) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Judicial Review Miscellaneous Civil Petition **Employment** Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Wrongful termination (36) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) is not is complex under rule 1800 of the California Rules of Court. If case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties Large number of witnesses d. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel Coordination and related actions pending in one or more courts issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states or countries, or in a federal court Substantial amount of documentary evidence Substantial post-disposition judicial disposition 3. Type of remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3 5. This case is is not a class action suit. Date: 3124163 GARY KURTZ (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) (SIGNATI OF PART NOTICE · Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate, Family, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 982.2.) File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.

 If this case is complex under rule 1800 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.

Unless this is a complex case, this cover sheet shall be used for statistical purposes only.

## NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

BC292852

CASE NUMBER

## THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below. There is additional information on the reverse side of this form.

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
Hon. Helen I. Bendix	18	308
Hon. Elihu M. Berle	42	416
Hon. Gregory Alarcon	36	410
Hon. Soussan Bruguera	71	729
Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510
Hon. Alan Buckner	14	300
Hon. James C. Chalfant	13	630
Hon. Lawrence W. Crispo	58	516
Hon. J. Stephen Czuleger	50	508
Hon. Ralph W. Dau	57	517
Hon. James R. Dunn	26	316
Hon. Judith C. Chirlin	89	532
Hon. Emilie Elias	3	224
Hon. Irving Feffer	51	511
Hon. Edward A. Ferns	69	621
Hon. Madeleine Flier	37	413
Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman	64	601
Hon. Haley J. Fromholz	20	310
Hon. Richard Fruin	15	307
Hon. Ray L. Hart	10	631
Hon. Robert L. Hess	24	314
Hon. William Highberger	32	406
Hon. Ernest Hiroshige	54	512
Hon. Marilyn L. Hoffman	78	730
Hon. Elizabeth A. Grimes	30	400
Hon. Richard C. Hubbell	62	600

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
Hon. Morris B. Jones	48	506
Hon. Owen Lee Kwong	49	509
Hon. Marvin Lager	38	412
Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	514
Hon. Jon M. Mayeda	72	731
Hon. David L. Minning	61	632
Hon. Charles W McCoy	308	CCW-1408
Hon. Aurelio Munoz	47	507
Hon. Mary Ann Murphy	25	317
Hon. Rodney E. Nelson	46	500
Hon. Paul Gutman	34	408
Hon. Gregory O'Brien	21	313
Hon, S. James Otero	68	617
Hon. Victor H. Person	39	415
Hon. Mel Recana	45	632
Hon. Andria K. Richey	31	407
Hon. Frances Rothschild	28	318
Hon. Jane Johnson	56	514
Hon. John P. Shook	53	513
Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian	41	417
Hon, Fumiko Wasserman	16	306
Hon. Thomas L. Willhite Jr.	23	315
Hon. Alexander Williams III	35	411
Hon. David A. Workman	40	414
Hon. George Wu	33	409
OTHER		annett group to communication to the Brigorous.

Given to Plaintiff of record on	John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
	CLERK DEPUTY

# Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Central District NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

The following critical provisions of the Chapter 7 Rules as applicable in the Central District are summarized for your assistance.

## **APPLICATION**

The Chapter 7 Rules were effective January 1, 1994. They apply to all general civil cases.

#### PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Chapter 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

#### CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

#### TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Individual Calendaring Court will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS: All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days of filing.

**CROSS-COMPLAINTS:** Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

A Status Conference will be scheduled by the assigned I/.C Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date and expert witnesses.

#### FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties at a status conference not more than 10 days prior to the trial to have timely filed and served all motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested jury instructions and special jury instructions and special jury verdicts; so that such matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least 5 days prior to this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Eight of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

#### SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter 7 Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter 7 Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party or if appropriate on counsel for such party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Chapter 7 Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is absolutely imperative.

#### \*Class Actions

All class actions are initially assigned to Judge Charles W. McCoy in Department 308 of the Central Civil West courthouse (600 S. Commonwealth St., Los Angeles 90005). This assignment is for pretrial purposes and for the purpose of assessing whether or not the case is complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 1800 et seq. Depending on the outcome of that assessment, the class action case may be reassigned to one of the judges of the Complex Litigation Program or reassigned randomly to a court in the Central District.

Gary Kurtz, Esq., State Bar No. 128295 1 ORIGINAL FII LAW OFFICE OF GARY KURTZ A Professional Law Corporation 2 20335 Ventura Boulevard MAR 2 6 2003 Suite 200 3 Woodland Hills, California 91436 LOS ANGELE 4 SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: (818) 884-8400 Telefax: (818) 884-8404 5 Attorney in pro per 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 RC292852 Case No.: GARY KURTZ, 11 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND Plaintiffs, 12 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: VS. 13 1. Defamation; 2. False light invasion of LUBOMYR PRYTULAK; and 14 privacy; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, 3. Injunction. 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 For his complaint for damages plaintiff alleges as follows: 19 20 1. Plaintiff Gary Kurtz ("Plaintiff" or "Kurtz") is and was 21 at all material time a competent adult. Plaintiff is also a 22 licensed attorney, who is licensed and qualified to practice law in 23 the states of California, Illinois and Missouri. 24 Defendant Lubomyr Prytulak ("Defendant") is an individual 2. 25 who resides in Canada but who publishes defamatory communications 26 calculated to reach audiences and cause damages to Plaintiff in 27 California and other states. 28

Page 1

Complaint For Damages

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants herein named as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek to amend this complaint to state the true names and capacities of these Doe defendants when they have been ascertained. At the time of the wrongful acts described in this complaint, all named defendants and Does 1 through 100, participated in some or all of the acts herein alleged, whether as principal, agent, alter ego, employer, employee, or representative of some or all of the other defendants, acting within the course and scope of said agency and employment.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the defendants sued herein as a Doe is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein, thereby legally causing the injuries and damages as herein alleged.

#### First Cause of Action

Defamation

[By Plaintiff Against Defendants]

5. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 4, above, and incorporates them by this reference.

///

27 ///

- 6. Defendants currently post a page on World Wide Web that includes information about Plaintiff Gary Kurtz. The web page reprints letters and other submissions that defendants send to California. The web page has changes from time to time. These pages make statements and allegations about Kurtz, including without limitation, the following:
- a. Kurtz told "a bald-faced lie to the LA Superior Court in a lawsuit in 1998", and Kurtz repeated the lie in another lawsuit in 2002. [See Exhibit "1", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a July 22, 2002 letter.]
- b. Kurtz has engaged in conduct worthy of a criminal contempt conviction and disbarment. [See Exhibit "1", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a July 22, 2002 letter.]
- c. Kurtz is uninformed of the legal issues relating to motions to quash and vacate defaults. [See Exhibit "2", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 13, 2002 letter.]
- d. Kurtz engineered the Superior Court judicial assignment system to have a case assigned to Judge James R. Dunn, who defendant contends is the one judge in the United States who would tolerate an "exceptionally frivolous and vexatious suit." [See Exhibit "2", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 13, 2002 letter.]
- e. Kurtz filed a sham and "lawless" lawsuit. [See Exhibit "2", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 13, 2002 letter; See Exhibit "3", which is a

true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 21, 2002 pleading.] Kurtz has engaged in conduct that would give him a f. reputation with "the perception of slovenliness. [See Exhibit "3", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 21, 2002 pleading.1 Kurtz's legal work is reckless, desperate and impotent. [See Exhibit "3", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 21, 2002 pleading.] Kurtz presented "specious arguments" and practiced h. "fraud on the Court." [See Exhibit "3", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 21, 2002 pleading.] Kurtz has a 'feeble respect for evidence and for truth." [See Exhibit "3", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a November 21, 2002 pleading.] Kurtz conspired to his client Steven Rambam and j. Superior Court Judge James R. Dunn to "wield the weapon of vexation", to engage in a "massive spoilation of documents" and engage in "crimes and chicaneries." [See Exhibit "4", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a December 2, 2002 letter.] Kurtz conspired to his client Steven Rambam and Superior Court Judge James R. Dunn to doctor the trial record. [See Exhibit "5", which is a true and correct copy of the web page posting of a December 4, 2002 letter.] 111 111

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. Statements contained on the web page are false and defamatory, and they are malicious because at the time that they published the web page, defendants should have known or had actual knowledge of the false nature of the publication.
- 8. In publishing the attached web page, defendants acted intentionally and maliciously, with the actual intent to cause injuries to Rambam and with a conscious disregard of Rambam's feelings and well being.
- 9. As a further direct and proximate result of defendants' conduct, Kurtz has suffered business and personal losses, including being subjected to hatred, ridicule, scorn, embarrassment, humiliation, and hurt feelings, and he has sustained emotional trauma and distress, depression and anger.
- 10. Further, in doing the things alleged above, defendants have been guilty of oppression, fraud and malice, so defendants' conduct, constitutes malice and oppression sufficient to justify an award of punitive damages. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' conduct, as alleged above, Rambam has sustained damages, and is entitled to punitive damages in and amount exceeding the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

# Second Cause of Action

False Light - Invasion Of Privacy
[By Plaintiff Against Defendants]

11. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 10, above, and incorporates them by this reference.

13. The information stated in the attached web page is false, offensive and objectionable to plaintiff, and it would be offensive and objectionable to any reasonable person.

- 14. Statements contained in the attached web page are malicious in that defendants should have known or had actual knowledge of the false nature of the publication.
- 15. In publishing the attached web page defendants acted intentionally and maliciously, with the actual intent to cause injuries to Rambam and with a conscious disregard of Kurtz's feelings and well being.
- 16. As a further direct and proximate result of defendants' conduct, Kurtz has suffered business and personal losses, including being subjected to hatred, ridicule, scorn, embarrassment, humiliation, and hurt feelings, and he has sustained emotional trauma and distress, depression and anger.
- 17. Further, in doing the things alleged above, defendants have been guilty of oppression, fraud and malice, so defendants' conduct, constitutes malice and oppression sufficient to justify an award of punitive damages. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' conduct, as alleged above, Rambam has sustained damages, and is entitled to punitive damages in and amount exceeding the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

///

27 ///

## Third Cause of Action

Injunctive Relief

[By Plaintiff Against Defendants]

18. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17, above, and incorporates them by this reference.

- 19. By reason of the foregoing, defendant(s) have tortiously engaged in acts which authorize equitable remedies such as disgorgement and the issuance of an injunction to prevent the repetition of the tortious conduct, including without limitation, acts of known defamation, acts of known false light invasion of privacy and acts of unfair business practices within the meaning of the California common law and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.
- 20. As a further direct and legal result of the acts and misconduct alleged above, defendant(s) have been unjustly enriched at plaintiff's expense, and plaintiff is entitled to an accounting of all sums and profits derived and received by defendant(s) from the publication of their web page and disgorgement thereof.
- 21. As a further direct and legal result of the acts and misconduct alleged above, plaintiff is entitled to an injunction preventing the continued publication of the information and materials described herein.

/// /// ///

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for a judgment against all defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial but exceeding the jurisdictional minimum of \$25,000.00;
- 2. For general damages in an amount to be proven at trial but exceeding the jurisdictional minimum of \$25,000.00;
  - 3. For punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 4. For an accounting of all sums and profits derived and received by defendant(s) from the publication of their web page and disgorgement thereof;
- 5. For an injunction preventing the continued publication of the information and materials described herein.
  - 6. For all costs allowed at law; and
- 7. For all further and additional damages as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 25, 2003

LAW OFFICE OF GARY KURTZ

A Professional Law Corp.

Gary Kurtz, Esq.

Attorneys in pro per.